## Time-indexed Types for Contracts

Patrick Bahr Jost Berthold Martin Elsman

DIKU paba@diku.dk

17th July, 2015

### What are financial contracts?

- stipulate future transactions between different parties
- have time constraints
- may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

### What are financial contracts?

- stipulate future transactions between different parties
- have time constraints
- may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

## Example (American Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

## What are financial contracts?

- stipulate future transactions between different parties
- have time constraints
- may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

## Example (American Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

## Goals

- Express such contracts in a formal language
- Symbolic manipulation and analysis of such contracts.

### What are financial contracts?

- stipulate future transactions between different parties
- have time constraints
- may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

## Example (American Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

## Goals

- Express such contracts in a formal language
- Symbolic manipulation and analysis of such contracts.
- Formally verified!

# Example: American Option

### Contract in natural language

- At any time within the next 90 days,
- party X may decide to
- buy USD 100 from party Y,
- ▶ for a fixed rate *r* of Danish Kroner.

# Example: American Option

Contract in natural language

- At any time within the next 90 days,
- party X may decide to
- buy USD 100 from party Y,
- ▶ for a fixed rate *r* of Danish Kroner.

Translation into contract language

if obs(X exercises option) within 90 then  $100 \times (USD(Y \rightarrow X) \& r \times DKK(X \rightarrow Y))$ else  $\emptyset$ 

## Overview

- Denotational semantics based on cash-flows
- ► Type system ~→ causality
- Reduction semantics
- Contract specialisation
- Formalised in the Coq theorem prover
- Certified implementation via code extraction

# An Overview of the Contract Language

 $\emptyset$  empty contract with no obligations  $a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) p_1$  has to transfer one unit of a to  $p_2$   $c_1 \& c_2$  conjunction of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$   $e \times c$  multiply all obligations in c by e  $d \uparrow c$  shift c into the future by d days let x = e in c observe today's value of e at any time (via x)

- if e within d then  $c_1$  else  $c_2$ 
  - behave like c<sub>1</sub> as soon as e becomes true
  - ▶ if *e* does not become true within *d* days behave like *c*<sub>2</sub>

# An Overview of the Contract Language

 $\emptyset$  empty contract with no obligations  $a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) p_1$  has to transfer one unit of a to  $p_2$   $c_1 \& c_2$  conjunction of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$   $e \times c$  multiply all obligations in c by e  $d \uparrow c$  shift c into the future by d days let x = e in c observe today's value of e at any time (via x)

- if e within d then  $c_1$  else  $c_2$ 
  - behave like c<sub>1</sub> as soon as e becomes true
  - ▶ if *e* does not become true within *d* days behave like *c*<sub>2</sub>

## Expression Language

Real-valued and Boolean-valued expressions, extended by

obs(I, d) observe the value of I at time d acc(f, d, e) accumulation over the last d days

## Example: Asian Option

## 90 $\uparrow$ if obs(X exercises option) within 0 then $100 \times (USD(Y \rightarrow X) \& (rate \times DKK(X \rightarrow Y)))$ else $\emptyset$

where

$$rate = \frac{1}{30} \cdot acc(\lambda r.r + obs(\mathsf{FX}(\mathsf{USD},\mathsf{DKK})), 30, 0)$$

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

 $\mathcal{C}\left[\!\left[\cdot\right]\!\right]:\mathsf{Contr}\qquad\to\mathsf{CashFlow}$ 

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

 $\mathcal{C}\left[\!\left[\cdot\right]\!\right]_{\cdot} : \mathsf{Contr} \qquad \rightarrow \mathsf{CashFlow}$ 

$$\label{eq:CashFlow} \begin{split} \mathsf{CashFlow} &= \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Transactions} \\ \mathsf{Transactions} &= \mathsf{Party} \times \mathsf{Party} \times \mathsf{Asset} \to \mathbb{R} \end{split}$$

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

 $\mathcal{C}\left[\!\left[\cdot\right]\!\right]:\mathsf{Contr}\times\mathsf{Env}\to\mathsf{CashFlow}$  $\mathsf{Env}=\mathsf{Label}\times\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{B}\cup\mathbb{R}$ 

$$\label{eq:CashFlow} \begin{split} \mathsf{CashFlow} &= \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Transactions} \\ \mathsf{Transactions} &= \mathsf{Party} \times \mathsf{Party} \times \mathsf{Asset} \to \mathbb{R} \end{split}$$

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

 $\mathcal{C} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket : \mathsf{Contr} \times \mathsf{Env} \to \mathsf{CashFlow}$  $\mathsf{Env} = \mathsf{Label}_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \alpha$ 

$$\label{eq:CashFlow} \begin{split} \mathsf{CashFlow} &= \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Transactions} \\ \mathsf{Transactions} &= \mathsf{Party} \times \mathsf{Party} \times \mathsf{Asset} \to \mathbb{R} \end{split}$$

## Contract Equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ll} e_1 \times (e_2 \times c) \simeq (e_1 \cdot e_2) \times c & d \uparrow \emptyset \simeq \emptyset \\ d_1 \uparrow (d_2 \uparrow c) \simeq (d_1 + d_2) \uparrow c & r \times \emptyset \simeq \emptyset \\ d \uparrow (c_1 \& c_2) \simeq (d \uparrow c_1) \& (d \uparrow c_2) & 0 \times c \simeq \emptyset \\ e \times (c_1 \& c_2) \simeq (e \times c_1) \& (e \times c_2) & c \& \emptyset \simeq c \\ d \uparrow (e \times c) \simeq (d \uparrow e) \times (d \uparrow c) & c_1 \& c_2 \simeq c_2 \& c_1 \end{array}$$

 $d \uparrow$  if *b* within *e* then  $c_1$  else  $c_2 \simeq$ if  $d \uparrow b$  within *e* then  $d \uparrow c_1$  else  $d \uparrow c_2$ 

 $(e_1 imes a(p_1 o p_2))$  &  $(e_2 imes a(p_1 o p_2)) \simeq (e_1 + e_2) imes a(p_1 o p_2)$ 

Causality

#### Definition

A closed contract c is causal iff

$$\rho_1 =_t \rho_2 \implies \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_1}(t) = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_2}(t) \quad \text{for all } t, \rho_1, \rho_2$$

Causality

#### Definition

A closed contract c is causal iff

$$\rho_1 =_t \rho_2 \implies \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_1}(t) = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_2}(t) \quad \text{for all } t, \rho_1, \rho_2$$

#### Example

$$obs(FX(USD, DKK), 1) \times DKK(X \rightarrow Y)$$

# Type System – Expressions

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^t \end{array} & \text{where } t \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\infty} \\ \hline \hline \hline \Gamma \Vdash r : \text{Real}^t & \overline{\Gamma} \Vdash r : \text{Bool}^t & \frac{l \in \text{Label}_{\tau} \quad t \leq t'}{\Gamma \Vdash \text{obs}(l, t) : \tau^{t'}} \\ \hline \frac{x : \tau^t \in \Gamma \quad t \leq t'}{\Gamma \Vdash x : \tau^{t'}} & \stackrel{\vdash op : \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \to \tau \quad \Gamma \Vdash e_i : \tau_i^t}{\Gamma \Vdash op(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau^t} \\ \hline \hline \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau^{-\infty} \Vdash e_1 : \tau^t \quad \Gamma^{+d} \Vdash e_2 : \tau^{t+d}}{\Gamma \Vdash \operatorname{acc}(\lambda x. e_1, d, e_2) : \tau^t} \end{array}$$

# Type System – Contracts



# Type System – Properties

Theorem If  $\Vdash c$ : Contr<sup>t</sup>, then c is causal.

# Type System – Properties

Theorem If  $\Vdash c$ : Contr<sup>t</sup>, then c is causal.

#### Lemma

# Type System – Properties

Theorem If  $\Vdash c$ : Contr<sup>t</sup>, then c is causal.

#### Lemma

Theorem (Type inference is sound and complete)

(i) If  $\Gamma \vdash c$ : Contr<sup>t</sup>, then  $\Gamma \Vdash c$ : Contr<sup>s</sup> for all  $s \leq t$ .

(ii) If  $\Gamma \Vdash c$ : Contr<sup>s</sup>, then  $\Gamma \bowtie c$ : Contr<sup>t</sup> for a unique  $t \ge s$ .

## **Reduction Semantics**

 $c \stackrel{T}{\Longrightarrow}_{
ho} c'$ 

## **Reduction Semantics**

$$c \stackrel{T}{\Longrightarrow}_{\rho} c'$$

Theorem (Computational adequacy of  $\stackrel{I}{\Longrightarrow}_{\rho}$ ) Let  $\Vdash c$ : Contr<sup>t</sup> and  $\rho \in \text{Env}_{P}$ .

(i) If  $c \stackrel{T}{\Longrightarrow}_{\rho} c'$ , then the following holds for all  $\rho'$  that extend  $\rho$ :

(a) 
$$C \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho'}(0) = T$$
, and  
(b)  $C \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho'}(i+1) = C \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\rho'/1}(i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(ii) If c <sup>T</sup>→<sub>ρ</sub> c', then ⊨ c' : Contr<sup>t-1</sup>.
(iii) If ρ is historically complete, then there is a unique c' such that c <sup>T</sup>→<sub>ρ</sub> c' and T = C [[c]]<sub>ρ</sub>(0).

# Code Extraction

## Coq formalisation

- Denotational & reduction semantics
- Meta-theory of contracts (causality, type system, ...)
- Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- Correctness proofs

# Code Extraction

## Coq formalisation

- Denotational & reduction semantics
- Meta-theory of contracts (causality, type system, ...)
- Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- Correctness proofs

# Code Extraction

## Coq formalisation

- Denotational & reduction semantics
- Meta-theory of contracts (causality, type system, ...)
- Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- Correctness proofs

#### Extraction of executable Haskell code

- efficient Haskell implementation
- embedded domain-specific language for contracts
- contract analyses and contract management

Contracts in Haskell - Example

```
\{-\# LANGUAGE RebindableSyntax \#-\}
```

 ${\bf import} \ Rebindable EDSL$ 

asian :: Contr asian = 90 ! if bObs (Decision X "exercise") 0 then 100 # (transfer Y X USD & (rate # transfer X Y DKK)) else zero where rate = (acc ( $\lambda r \rightarrow r +$ rObs (FX USD DKK) 0) 30 0) / 30