

Time-indexed Types for Contracts

Patrick Bahr

Jost Berthold

Martin Elsman

DIKU
paba@diku.dk

17th July, 2015

Introduction

What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

Introduction

What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

Example (American Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

Introduction

What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

Example (American Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

Goals

- ▶ Express such contracts in a formal language
- ▶ Symbolic manipulation and analysis of such contracts.

Introduction

What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

Example (American Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

Goals

- ▶ Express such contracts in a formal language
- ▶ Symbolic manipulation and analysis of such contracts.
- ▶ Formally verified!

Example: American Option

Contract in natural language

- ▶ At any time within the next 90 days,
- ▶ party X may decide to
- ▶ buy USD 100 from party Y,
- ▶ for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

Example: American Option

Contract in natural language

- ▶ At any time within the next 90 days,
- ▶ party X may decide to
- ▶ buy USD 100 from party Y,
- ▶ for a fixed rate r of Danish Kroner.

Translation into contract language

if $obs(X \text{ exercises option})$ **within** 90
then $100 \times (\text{USD}(Y \rightarrow X) \ \& \ r \times \text{DKK}(X \rightarrow Y))$
else \emptyset

Overview

- ▶ Denotational semantics based on cash-flows
- ▶ Type system \rightsquigarrow causality
- ▶ Reduction semantics
- ▶ Contract specialisation
- ▶ Formalised in the Coq theorem prover
- ▶ Certified implementation via code extraction

An Overview of the Contract Language

\emptyset empty contract with no obligations

$a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$ p_1 has to transfer one unit of a to p_2

$c_1 \& c_2$ conjunction of c_1 and c_2

$e \times c$ multiply all obligations in c by e

$d \uparrow c$ shift c into the future by d days

let $x = e$ **in** c observe today's value of e at any time (via x)

if e **within** d **then** c_1 **else** c_2

- ▶ behave like c_1 as soon as e becomes true
- ▶ if e does not become true within d days behave like c_2

An Overview of the Contract Language

\emptyset empty contract with no obligations

$a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$ p_1 has to transfer one unit of a to p_2

$c_1 \& c_2$ conjunction of c_1 and c_2

$e \times c$ multiply all obligations in c by e

$d \uparrow c$ shift c into the future by d days

let $x = e$ **in** c observe today's value of e at any time (via x)

if e **within** d **then** c_1 **else** c_2

- ▶ behave like c_1 as soon as e becomes true
- ▶ if e does not become true within d days behave like c_2

Expression Language

Real-valued and Boolean-valued expressions, extended by

$obs(l, d)$ observe the value of l at time d

$acc(f, d, e)$ accumulation over the last d days

Example: Asian Option

90 \uparrow **if** *obs*(X exercises option) **within** 0
then $100 \times (\text{USD}(Y \rightarrow X) \& (\text{rate} \times \text{DKK}(X \rightarrow Y)))$
else \emptyset

where

$$\text{rate} = \frac{1}{30} \cdot \text{acc}(\lambda r.r + \text{obs}(\text{FX}(\text{USD}, \text{DKK})), 30, 0)$$

Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \quad \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

$$\text{CashFlow} = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Transactions}$$

$$\text{Transactions} = \text{Party} \times \text{Party} \times \text{Asset} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

$$\text{Env} = \text{Label} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{CashFlow} = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Transactions}$$

$$\text{Transactions} = \text{Party} \times \text{Party} \times \text{Asset} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

$$\text{Env} = \text{Label}_\alpha \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\text{CashFlow} = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Transactions}$$

$$\text{Transactions} = \text{Party} \times \text{Party} \times \text{Asset} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Contract Equivalences

$$e_1 \times (e_2 \times c) \simeq (e_1 \cdot e_2) \times c$$

$$d_1 \uparrow (d_2 \uparrow c) \simeq (d_1 + d_2) \uparrow c$$

$$d \uparrow (c_1 \& c_2) \simeq (d \uparrow c_1) \& (d \uparrow c_2)$$

$$e \times (c_1 \& c_2) \simeq (e \times c_1) \& (e \times c_2)$$

$$d \uparrow (e \times c) \simeq (d \uparrow e) \times (d \uparrow c)$$

$$d \uparrow \emptyset \simeq \emptyset$$

$$r \times \emptyset \simeq \emptyset$$

$$0 \times c \simeq \emptyset$$

$$c \& \emptyset \simeq c$$

$$c_1 \& c_2 \simeq c_2 \& c_1$$

$d \uparrow$ if b within e then c_1 else $c_2 \simeq$

if $d \uparrow b$ within e then $d \uparrow c_1$ else $d \uparrow c_2$

$$(e_1 \times a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)) \& (e_2 \times a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)) \simeq (e_1 + e_2) \times a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$$

Causality

Definition

A closed contract c is **causal** iff

$$\rho_1 =_t \rho_2 \implies \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_1} (t) = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_2} (t) \quad \text{for all } t, \rho_1, \rho_2$$

Causality

Definition

A closed contract c is **causal** iff

$$\rho_1 =_t \rho_2 \implies \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_1}(t) = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho_2}(t) \quad \text{for all } t, \rho_1, \rho_2$$

Example

$$\mathbf{obs}(\text{FX}(\text{USD}, \text{DKK}), 1) \times \text{DKK}(X \rightarrow Y)$$

Type System – Expressions

$\boxed{\Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^t}$ where $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\infty}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\Gamma \Vdash r : \text{Real}^t} \quad \overline{\Gamma \Vdash r : \text{Bool}^t} \quad \frac{l \in \text{Label}_\tau \quad t \leq t'}{\Gamma \Vdash \mathbf{obs}(l, t) : \tau^{t'}} \\ \frac{x : \tau^t \in \Gamma \quad t \leq t'}{\Gamma \Vdash x : \tau^{t'}} \quad \frac{\vdash \mathit{op} : \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \Vdash e_i : \tau_i^t}{\Gamma \Vdash \mathit{op}(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau^t} \\ \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau^{-\infty} \Vdash e_1 : \tau^t \quad \Gamma^{+d} \Vdash e_2 : \tau^{t+d}}{\Gamma \Vdash \mathbf{acc}(\lambda x. e_1, d, e_2) : \tau^t} \end{array}$$

Type System – Contracts

$\boxed{\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t}$ where $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\infty}$

$$\frac{\Gamma^{-d} \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^{t-d}}{\Gamma \Vdash d \uparrow c : \text{Contr}^t} \quad \frac{t \leq 0}{\Gamma \Vdash a(p \rightarrow q) : \text{Contr}^t}$$
$$\frac{}{\Gamma \Vdash \emptyset : \text{Contr}^t} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Vdash e : \text{Real}^{t'} \quad \Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^{t'} \quad t \leq t'}{\Gamma \Vdash e \times c : \text{Contr}^t}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \Vdash c_i : \text{Contr}^t}{\Gamma \Vdash c_1 \& c_2 : \text{Contr}^t} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^s \quad \Gamma, x : \tau^s \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t}{\Gamma \Vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e \ \mathbf{in} \ c : \text{Contr}^t}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \Vdash e : \text{Bool}^0 \quad \Gamma \Vdash c_1 : \text{Contr}^t \quad \Gamma^{-d} \Vdash c_2 : \text{Contr}^{t-d}}{\Gamma \Vdash \mathbf{if} \ e \ \mathbf{within} \ d \ \mathbf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ c_2 : \text{Contr}^t}$$

Type System – Properties

Theorem

If $\Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$, then c is causal.

Type System – Properties

Theorem

If $\Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$, then c is causal.

Lemma

- (i) *If $\Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^t$, then $\Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^s$ for all $s \geq t$.*
- (ii) *If $\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$, then $\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^s$ for all $s \leq t$.*

Type System – Properties

Theorem

If $\Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$, then c is causal.

Lemma

- (i) *If $\Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^t$, then $\Gamma \Vdash e : \tau^s$ for all $s \geq t$.*
- (ii) *If $\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$, then $\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^s$ for all $s \leq t$.*

Theorem (Type inference is sound and complete)

- (i) *If $\Gamma \vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$, then $\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^s$ for all $s \leq t$.*
- (ii) *If $\Gamma \Vdash c : \text{Contr}^s$, then $\Gamma \vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$ for a unique $t \geq s$.*

Reduction Semantics

$$c \xrightarrow{T} \rho c'$$

Reduction Semantics

$$c \xrightarrow{T}_{\rho} c'$$

Theorem (Computational adequacy of \xrightarrow{T}_{ρ})

Let $\Vdash c : \text{Contr}^t$ and $\rho \in \text{Env}_{\rho}$.

- (i) If $c \xrightarrow{T}_{\rho} c'$, then the following holds for all ρ' that extend ρ :
 - (a) $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho'}(0) = T$, and
 - (b) $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho'}(i+1) = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\rho'/1}(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) If $c \xrightarrow{T}_{\rho} c'$, then $\Vdash c' : \text{Contr}^{t-1}$.
- (iii) If ρ is historically complete, then there is a unique c' such that $c \xrightarrow{T}_{\rho} c'$ and $T = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho}(0)$.

Code Extraction

Coq formalisation

- ▶ Denotational & reduction semantics
- ▶ Meta-theory of contracts (causality, type system, ...)
- ▶ Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- ▶ Correctness proofs

Code Extraction

Coq formalisation

- ▶ Denotational & reduction semantics
- ▶ Meta-theory of contracts (causality, type system, ...)
- ▶ Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- ▶ Correctness proofs

Code Extraction

Coq formalisation

- ▶ Denotational & reduction semantics
- ▶ Meta-theory of contracts (causality, type system, ...)
- ▶ Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- ▶ Correctness proofs

Extraction of executable Haskell code

- ▶ efficient Haskell implementation
- ▶ embedded domain-specific language for contracts
- ▶ contract analyses and contract management

Contracts in Haskell – Example

```
{-# LANGUAGE RebindableSyntax #-}
```

```
import RebindableEDSL
```

```
american :: Contr
```

```
american = if bObs (Decision X "exercise") 0 'within' 90  
  then 100 # (transfer Y X USD &  
              (6.23 # transfer X Y DKK))  
  else zero
```

```
asian :: Contr
```

```
asian = 90 ! if bObs (Decision X "exercise") 0  
  then 100 # (transfer Y X USD &  
              (rate # transfer X Y DKK))  
  else zero  
where rate = (acc ( $\lambda r \rightarrow r +$   
                  rObs (FX USD DKK) 0) 30 0) / 30
```