

# Certified Management of Financial Contracts

Patrick Bahr

DIKU

paba@diku.dk

joint work with  
Jost Berthold & Martin Elsman

10th December, 2014

# Introduction

## What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

# Introduction

## What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

## Example (Foreign Exchange Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate  $r$  of Danish Kroner.

# Introduction

## What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

## Example (Foreign Exchange Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate  $r$  of Danish Kroner.

## Goals

- ▶ Express such contracts in a formal language
- ▶ Symbolic manipulation and analysis of such contracts.

# Introduction

## What are financial contracts?

- ▶ stipulate future transactions between different parties
- ▶ have time constraints
- ▶ may depend on stock prices, exchange rates etc.

## Example (Foreign Exchange Option)

At any time within the next 90 days, party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate  $r$  of Danish Kroner.

## Goals

- ▶ Express such contracts in a formal language
- ▶ Symbolic manipulation and analysis of such contracts.
- ▶ Formal verification

# Contract Language Goals in Detail

- ▶ **Compositionality.**

Contracts are time-relative  $\Rightarrow$  facilitates compositionality

- ▶ **Multi-party.**

Specify obligations and opportunities **for multiple parties**,  
(which opens up the possibility for specifying portfolios)

- ▶ **Contract management.**

**Contracts** can be managed and **symbolically evolved**;  
a contract gradually reduces to the empty contract.

- ▶ **Contract utilities (symbolic).**

Contracts can be analysed in a variety of ways

- ▶ **Contract pricing (numerical, staged).**

Code for payoff can be generated from contracts  
(**input** to a stochastic **pricing engine**)

# Example

## Contract in natural language

- ▶ At any time within the next 90 days,
- ▶ party X may decide to
- ▶ buy USD 100 from party Y,
- ▶ for a fixed rate  $r$  of Danish Kroner.

# Example

## Contract in natural language

- ▶ At any time within the next 90 days,
- ▶ party X may decide to
- ▶ buy USD 100 from party Y,
- ▶ for a fixed rate  $r$  of Danish Kroner.

## Translation into contract language

**if**  $obs(X \text{ exercises option})$  **within** 90  
**then**  $100 \times (USD(Y \rightarrow X) \& r \times DKK(X \rightarrow Y))$   
**else**  $\emptyset$



# Contributions

- ▶ **Denotational semantics** based on cash-flows
- ▶ **Reduction semantics** (sound and complete)
- ▶ Correctness proofs for common contract **analyses and transformations**
- ▶ **Formalised** in the Coq theorem prover
- ▶ **Certified implementation** via code extraction

# An Overview of the Contract Language

$\emptyset$  empty contract with no obligations

$a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$   $p_1$  has to transfer one unit of  $a$  to  $p_2$

$c_1 \& c_2$  conjunction of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$

$e \times c$  multiply all obligations in  $c$  by  $e$

$d \uparrow c$  shift  $c$  into the future by  $d$  days

**let**  $x = e$  **in**  $c$  observe today's value of  $e$  at any time (via  $x$ )

# An Overview of the Contract Language

$\emptyset$  empty contract with no obligations

$a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$   $p_1$  has to transfer one unit of  $a$  to  $p_2$

$c_1 \& c_2$  conjunction of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$

$e \times c$  multiply all obligations in  $c$  by  $e$

$d \uparrow c$  shift  $c$  into the future by  $d$  days

**let**  $x = e$  **in**  $c$  observe today's value of  $e$  at any time (via  $x$ )

**if**  $e$  **within**  $d$  **then**  $c_1$  **else**  $c_2$

- ▶ behave like  $c_1$  as soon as  $e$  becomes true
- ▶ if  $e$  does not become true within  $d$  days behave like  $c_2$

# An Overview of the Contract Language

$\emptyset$  empty contract with no obligations

$a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$   $p_1$  has to transfer one unit of  $a$  to  $p_2$

$c_1 \& c_2$  conjunction of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$

$e \times c$  multiply all obligations in  $c$  by  $e$

$d \uparrow c$  shift  $c$  into the future by  $d$  days

**let**  $x = e$  **in**  $c$  observe today's value of  $e$  at any time (via  $x$ )

**if**  $e$  **within**  $d$  **then**  $c_1$  **else**  $c_2$

- ▶ behave like  $c_1$  as soon as  $e$  becomes true
- ▶ if  $e$  does not become true within  $d$  days behave like  $c_2$

## Expression Language

Real-valued and Boolean-valued expressions, extended by

$obs(l, d)$  observe the value of  $l$  at time  $d$

$acc(f, d, e)$  accumulation over the last  $d$  days

## Example: Asian Option

90  $\uparrow$  **if** *obs*(*X* exercises option) **within** 0  
**then**  $100 \times (\text{USD}(Y \rightarrow X) \& (\text{rate} \times \text{DKK}(X \rightarrow Y)))$   
**else**  $\emptyset$

where

$$\text{rate} = \frac{1}{30} \cdot \text{acc}(\lambda r.r + \text{obs}(\text{FX USD/DKK}), 30, 0)$$

# Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

# Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

$$\text{CashFlow} = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Transactions}$$

$$\text{Transactions} = \text{Party} \times \text{Party} \times \text{Asset} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

# Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

$$\text{Env} = \text{Label} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{CashFlow} = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Transactions}$$

$$\text{Transactions} = \text{Party} \times \text{Party} \times \text{Asset} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$



# Denotational Semantics

The semantics of a contract is given by the cash-flow it stipulates.

$$\mathcal{C} [\cdot] : \text{Contr} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{CashFlow}$$

$$\text{Env} = \text{Label}_\alpha \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$\text{CashFlow} = \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Transactions}$$

$$\text{Transactions} = \text{Party} \times \text{Party} \times \text{Asset} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

# Contract Analyses

## Examples

- ▶ contract dependencies
- ▶ contract causality
- ▶ contract horizon

# Contract Analyses

## Examples

- ▶ contract dependence
- ▶ contract causality
- ▶ contract horizon

$obs(FX\ USD/DKK, 1) \times DKK(X \rightarrow Y)$

# Contract Analyses

## Examples

- ▶ contract dependencies
- ▶ contract causality
- ▶ contract horizon

## Semantics vs. Syntax

- ▶ these analyses have **precise semantic definition**
- ▶ they cannot be effectively computed
- ▶ we provide **sound approximations**, e.g. type system

# Contract Causality

## Refined Types

- ▶  $e : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^t$       value of  $e$  available **at time  $t$**  (or later)
- ▶  $c : \text{Contr}^t$       no obligations strictly **before  $t$**

# Contract Causality

## Refined Types

- ▶  $e : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^t$  value of  $e$  available **at time  $t$**  (or later)
- ▶  $c : \text{Contr}^t$  no obligations strictly **before  $t$**

## Typing Rules

$$\frac{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad l \in \text{Label}_{\alpha} \quad t_1 \leq t_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{obs}(l, t_1) : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^{t_2}}$$

$$\frac{p_1, p_2 \in \text{Party} \quad a \in \text{Asset}}{\vdash a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) : \text{Contr}^0}$$

# Contract Causality

## Refined Types

- ▶  $e : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^t$  value of  $e$  available **at time  $t$**  (or later)
- ▶  $c : \text{Contr}^t$  no obligations strictly **before  $t$**

## Typing Rules

$$\frac{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad l \in \text{Label}_{\alpha} \quad t_1 \leq t_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{obs}(l, t_1) : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^{t_2}}$$

$$\frac{p_1, p_2 \in \text{Party} \quad a \in \text{Asset}}{\vdash a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) : \text{Contr}^0}$$

$$\frac{\vdash e : \text{Expr}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \quad \vdash c : \text{Contr}^t}{\vdash e \times c : \text{Contr}^t}$$

$$\frac{d \in \mathbb{N} \quad \vdash c : \text{Contr}^t}{\vdash d \uparrow c : \text{Contr}^{t+d}}$$

# Contract Causality

## Refined Types

- ▶  $e : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^t$  value of  $e$  available **at time  $t$**  (or later)
- ▶  $c : \text{Contr}^t$  no obligations strictly **before  $t$**

## Typing Rules

$$\frac{t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad l \in \text{Label}_{\alpha} \quad t_1 \leq t_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{obs}(l, t_1) : \text{Expr}_{\alpha}^{t_2}}$$

$$\frac{p_1, p_2 \in \text{Party} \quad a \in \text{Asset}}{\vdash a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) : \text{Contr}^0}$$

$$\frac{\vdash e : \text{Expr}_{\mathbb{R}}^t \quad \vdash c : \text{Contr}^t}{\vdash e \times c : \text{Contr}^t}$$

$$\frac{d \in \mathbb{N} \quad \vdash c : \text{Contr}^t}{\vdash d \uparrow c : \text{Contr}^{t+d}}$$

⋮



# Contract Transformations

## Contract equivalences

When can we replace a sub-contract with another one, without changing the semantics of the contract?

## Reduction semantics

What does the contract look like after  $n$  days have passed?

## Contract Specialisation

What does the contract look like after we learned the actual value of some observables?

## Contract Equivalences

$$e_1 \times (e_2 \times c) \simeq (e_1 \cdot e_2) \times c$$

$$d_1 \uparrow (d_2 \uparrow c) \simeq (d_1 + d_2) \uparrow c$$

$$d \uparrow (c_1 \& c_2) \simeq (d \uparrow c_1) \& (d \uparrow c_2)$$

$$e \times (c_1 \& c_2) \simeq (e \times c_1) \& (e \times c_2)$$

$$d \uparrow (e \times c) \simeq (d \uparrow e) \times (d \uparrow c)$$

$$d \uparrow \emptyset \simeq \emptyset$$

$$r \times \emptyset \simeq \emptyset$$

$$0 \times c \simeq \emptyset$$

$$c \& \emptyset \simeq c$$

$$c_1 \& c_2 \simeq c_2 \& c_1$$

**$d \uparrow$  if  $b$  within  $e$  then  $c_1$  else  $c_2 \simeq$**

**if  $d \uparrow b$  within  $e$  then  $d \uparrow c_1$  else  $d \uparrow c_2$**

$$(e_1 \times a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)) \& (e_2 \times a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)) \simeq (e_1 + e_2) \times a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$$

## Reduction Semantics

$$c \xrightarrow[\rho]{\tau} c'$$

## Reduction Semantics

$$c \xrightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c'$$

$$\frac{}{a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a,p_1,p_2}}_{\rho} \emptyset}$$

## Reduction Semantics

$$c \xrightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c'$$

$$\frac{}{a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a,p_1,p_2}}_{\rho} \emptyset}$$

$$\frac{c \xrightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c' \quad \mathcal{E} \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\rho} = v}{e \times c \xrightarrow{v * \tau}_{\rho} (-1 \uparrow e) \times c'}$$

## Reduction Semantics

$$c \xrightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c'$$

$$\frac{}{a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a,p_1,p_2}}_{\rho} \emptyset}$$

$$\frac{c \xrightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c' \quad \mathcal{E} \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\rho} = v}{e \times c \xrightarrow{v * \tau}_{\rho} (-1 \uparrow e) \times c'}$$

⋮

# Reduction Semantics

$$c \xRightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c'$$

$$\frac{}{a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \xRightarrow{\tau_{a,p_1,p_2}}_{\rho} \emptyset} \quad \frac{c \xRightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c' \quad \mathcal{E} \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\rho} = v}{e \times c \xRightarrow{v*\tau}_{\rho} (-1 \uparrow e) \times c'}$$

⋮

## Theorem (Reduction semantics correctness)

- (i) If  $c \xRightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c'$ , then
  - (a)  $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho}(0) = \tau$ , and
  - (b)  $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho}(i+1) = \mathcal{C} \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{1 \uparrow \rho}(i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\rho}(0) = \tau$ , then there is a unique  $c'$  with  $c \xRightarrow{\tau}_{\rho} c'$ .

# Code Extraction

## Coq formalisation

- ▶ Denotational & reduction semantics
- ▶ Meta-theory of contracts (causality, monotonicity, ...)
- ▶ Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- ▶ Correctness proofs



# Code Extraction

## Coq formalisation

- ▶ Denotational & reduction semantics
- ▶ Meta-theory of contracts (causality, monotonicity, ...)
- ▶ Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- ▶ Correctness proofs

# Code Extraction

## Coq formalisation

- ▶ Denotational & reduction semantics
- ▶ Meta-theory of contracts (causality, monotonicity, ...)
- ▶ Definition of contract transformations and analyses
- ▶ Correctness proofs

## Extraction of executable Haskell code

- ▶ efficient Haskell implementation
- ▶ embedded domain-specific language for contracts
- ▶ contract analyses and contract management

## Future Work

- ▶ improve code extraction
- ▶ further analyses and transformations (e.g. scenario generation and “zooming”)
- ▶ combine this work with numerical methods